

PY S9642.2 D611

STATE LIBRARY OF PENNSYLVANIA
docs.pa PY S9642.2 D611
Disaster urban renewal project



0 0001 00064799 8

SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COMMISSION
5012 LENKER STREET
MECHANICSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17055

DISASTER URBAN RENEWAL PROJECTS
IN THE
SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN

APRIL 10, 1975

SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COMMISSION

ROBERT J. BIELO
EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

PREPARED BY:

RICHARD A. CAIRO
PLANNING ANALYST
PLANNING AND OPERATIONS DIVISION

ADDITIONAL COPIES MAY BE OBTAINED BY CONTACTING:

SUSQUEHANNA RIVER BASIN COMMISSION
5012 LENKER STREET
MECHANICSBURG, PENNSYLVANIA 17055
TELEPHONE: 717-737-0502

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Presentation of Disaster Urban Renewal Data.....	1
Conclusions.....	2
Other Flood Related Urban Renewal Activity.....	2

TABLES

Table 1 - Summary of State Projects and Their Costs.....	4
Table 2 - New York and Pennsylvania Disaster Urban Renewal Project and Land Disposition Maps.....	5
Table 3 - New York Disaster Urban Renewal Data.....	6
Table 4 - Pennsylvania Disaster Urban Renewal Data.....	7



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2017 with funding from

This project is made possible by a grant from the Institute of Museum and Library Services as administered by the Pennsylvania Department of Education through the Office of Commonwealth Libraries

DISCUSSION
OF
DISASTER URBAN RENEWAL DATA

The object of this report is to present information regarding the status of Disaster Urban Renewal Projects in the Susquehanna River Basin.

In Table 1, the total number of on-going Disaster Urban Renewal Projects in Pennsylvania and New York are listed along with an estimate of total project expenditures for each state. Maryland is not participating in the disaster program.

Table 2 presents a list of Project Area and Land Disposition Maps which have been acquired by the Commission staff for Basin communities participating in the Disaster Renewal Program. The New York Project Map file is complete. The Pennsylvania file will be complete upon receipt of several additional project maps from the Scranton Area Office of HUD.

Tables 3 and 4 provide a listing of each New York and Pennsylvania community in the Basin participating in the Disaster Urban Renewal Program along with the names of individual projects if there are more than one to a single community. In addition, Tables 3 and 4 indicate the number of acres encompassing each project; the number of parcels to be acquired; the number of families, individuals, and business to be relocated; the number of structures to be demolished; the number of structures and dwelling units to be rehabilitated; and the amount of land in acres to be re-sold. Federal and State cost shares for each project are listed along with the planned major land reuse and the estimated date of project completion.

Also, Tables 3 and 4 provide data totals for each State and for that portion of the State located within the Susquehanna River Basin. Basin totals are then expressed as percentages of the State totals. This facilitates a comparison between the numbers and costs of statewide Disaster Urban Renewal Projects and those projects being carried out only in the Susquehanna River Basin portion of the State.

Tables 3 and 4 also indicate whether the Basin communities participating in the Disaster Urban Renewal Program have undergone or are scheduled for an FIA study by SRBC and, if so, under which contract or proposed contract with HUD.

CONCLUSIONS

The Pennsylvania figures show that 52 of 69 or 75.4% of the disaster projects being carried out in the Commonwealth lie within the Susquehanna River Basin. Additionally, 83.8% of all federal disaster renewal funds and 87% of all state disaster renewal funds are being expended within Susquehanna River Basin communities.

The same situation exists in New York State where 6 of 7 or about 85.8% of the disaster projects underway in the state are located in the Basin. Similarly, 97.2% of federal disaster renewal funds and 97.7% of state disaster renewal funds are going to Susquehanna River Basin communities.

In short, the great preponderance of disaster urban renewal activity in the States of New York and Pennsylvania is taking place within the Susquehanna River Basin.

OTHER FLOOD RELATED RENEWAL ACTIVITY

In addition to the projects listed in Tables 3 and 4 which are formally a part of the Disaster Urban Renewal Program funded jointly by the federal and state governments, there are several other projects in Pennsylvania and New York which are also flood disaster related.

In Pennsylvania, the following disaster projects, located within the Basin, are being financed entirely by the Commonwealth and are not a part of the Disaster Urban Renewal Program:

1.	Danville Scattered Site Project --	\$199,790.00
2.	Exeter Township (Luzerne Co.)-- Apple Tree Road Project	226,176.00
3.	Exeter Township (Luzerne Co.)-- Riverside Drive Project	540,634.00
4.	Lewisburg Project --	345,262.00
5.	Meshoppen Project --	300,000.00

The Commission staff has received maps for these projects from the Scranton Office of the Pennsylvania Department of Community Affairs.

In New York, renewal projects in Hornell and Elmira Heights were initiated partially because of flood damage, though plans for renewal were being formulated prior to Agnes. Thus, the

projects in these two communities have not received a disaster designation. The projects and their costs are listed below.

<u>Project</u>	<u>Federal Cost</u>	<u>State Cost</u>
1. Elmira-Heights	\$1,886,292.00	\$ 302,725.00
2. Hornell-Mapleton	\$7,848,475.00	\$1,080,565.00

It should also be noted that the Commission office has project maps on file for these two projects.

TABLE 1
SUMMARY OF STATE PROJECTS
AND THEIR COSTS

<u>Number of Disaster Urban Renewal Projects</u>	<u>Total State Wide Project Cost</u>	
	<u>FED</u>	<u>STATE</u>
Penna. - 69 Projects in 58 communities	\$384,022,046.	\$124,109,399.
New York - 7 Projects	\$ 80,000,000.	\$ 20,109,399.

PENNSYLVANIA DISASTER URBAN RENEWALPROJECT AREA AND LAND DISPOSITION MAPS

1. City of Lebanon - Lebanon County, Pennsylvania
2. Borough of Lykens - Dauphin County, Pennsylvania
3. Borough of Highspire - Dauphin County, Pennsylvania
4. Borough of Blossburg - Tioga County, Pennsylvania
5. Borough of Plymouth - Luzerne County, Pennsylvania
6. Borough of Renovo - Clinton County, Pennsylvania
7. Castanea Township - Clinton County, Pennsylvania
8. Borough of Shickshinny - Luzerne County, Pennsylvania
9. Borough of West Wyoming - Luzerne County, Pennsylvania
10. Borough of Kingston - Luzerne County, Pennsylvania
11. Town of Bloomsburg - Columbia County, Pennsylvania
12. Borough of Duboistown - Lycoming County, Pennsylvania
13. Borough of Montgomery - Lycoming County, Pennsylvania
14. Turbot Township - Northumberland County, Pennsylvania
15. West Falls - Exeter Township - Wyoming County, Pennsylvania
16. City of Lock Haven - Clinton County, Pennsylvania
17. Borough of Jersey Shore - Lycoming County, Pennsylvania
18. Borough of Lawrenceville - Tioga County, Pennsylvania

NEW YORK DISASTER URBAN RENEWALPROJECT AREA AND LAND DISPOSITION MAPS

1. Wellsbury Village - Chemung County, New York
2. Erwin Town - Steuben County, New York
3. Riverside Village - Steuben County, New York
4. Elmira City - Chemung County, New York
5. Corning City - Steuben County, New York
6. Painted Post Village - Steuben County, New York

COMMUNITY	PROJ. NO.	PROJ. AREA (Acres)	# OF PARCELS TO BE ACQUIRED	RELOCATION			STRUCTURES TO BE DEMOLISHED	REBIL STUCT
				<u>FAM.</u>	<u>INDIV.</u>	<u>BUS.</u>		
Elmira	R-402	1,068.00	880	423	260	95	682	1,81
Corning	R-404	522.00	519	368	174	57	272	92
Painted Post	R-403	26.30	62	39	15	50	64	---
Wesleyburg	R-409	7.90	9	7	1	8	12	---
Riverside	R-405	54.00	42	33	17	8	31	---
Erwin Town	R-407	<u>143.00</u>	<u>105</u>	<u>86</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>76</u>
BASIN TOTALS		1,821.20	1,617	956	499	233	1,150	2,49
STATE TOTALS		1,885.87	1,706	994	520	233	1,192	2,49
BASIN TOTALS AS A PERCENTAGE OF STATE TOTALS		96.6%	94.8%	96.2%	96%	100%	96.5%	100%

TABLE 3
 URBAN RENEWAL DATA

DEVELOPMENT		FEDERAL FUNDING	STATE FUNDING	SCHEDULED PROJECT COMPLETION	LAND TO BE SOLD (ACRES)	MAJOR REUSE	SRBC-HUD FIA STUDY
CT.	DU's						
1	3,043	\$43,867,600	\$10,602,534	6/78	157.00	Resid.	HUD Contract
2	1,155	22,482,781	6,177,009	6/78	112.00	Resid.	HUD Contract
-	-----	4,384,132	1,461,377	6/75	14.00	Comm.	HUD Contract
-	-----	252,094	84,031	6/75	5.40	Comm.	N.Y. Contract
-	-----	1,982,731	170,810	6/76	13.90	Ind.	HUD Contract
76	73	<u>4,730,662</u>	<u>1,147,054</u>	6/76	<u>59.00</u>	Resid.	N.Y. Contract
49	4,271	\$77,700,000	\$19,462,815		361.30		
49	4,271	\$80,000,000	\$20,109,339		390.30		
%	100%	97.2%	97.7%		92.6%		

TABLE
PENNSYLVANIA DISASTER

COMMUNITY	PROJ. NO.	PROJ. AREA (Acres)	# OF PARCELS TO BE ACQUIRED	RELOCATION			STRUCTURES TO BE DEMOLISHED	REHABILITATION STRUCT.
				FAM.	INDIV.	BUS.		
Athens	R-625	320.63	166	129	22	23	175	666
Bloomsburg #1	R-633	62.75	29	15	5	3	36	330
Bloomsburg #2	R-677	114.13	22	22	3	1	24	263
Blossburg	R-642	5.50	15	4	6	0	6	5
Castanea Twp.	R-647	73.20	128	82	13	5	108	---
Catawissa	R-642	20.67	22	16	4	4	23	69
Danville	R-641	154.00	95	77	18	5	135	227
Duboistown	R-636	57.50	33	20	6	3	19	88
Edwardsville	R-612	249.52	118	82	26	10	101	493
Forty Fort	R-613	385.00	84	64	6	8	76	1,385
Hanover Twp.	R-614	383.00	284	290	32	17	188	910
Harrisburg								
Penn-Susq.	R-634	103.90	140	117	49	9	119	565
Cameron-S. Hbg.	R-608	352.60	599	465	126	68	531	188
Highspire	R-663	46.62	83	55	4	6	69	48
Huntington	R-434	26.70	29	13	1	2	32	---
Jersey Shore	R-610	225.44	93	89	63	28	93	560
Kingston	R-615	1,331.00	677	822	143	18	638	4,035
Lawrenceville	R-622	108.90	56	43	7	4	48	95
Lebanon	R-635	323.27	317	127	33	28	229	1,014
Lewistown	R-648	78.00	241	138	54	23	188	107

* HUD Phase 2 Flood Insurance Study

** HUD Phase 3 Flood Insurance Study (Future Contract)

URBAN RENEWAL DATA

LOCATION	FEDERAL FUNDING	STATE FUNDING	SCHEDULED PROJECT COMPLETION	LAND TO BE SOLD (ACRES)	MAJOR REUSE	SRBC-HUD FIA STUDY
<u>DU's</u>						
647	\$ 6,912,500	\$ 2,232,206	5/78	29.09	Resid.	HUD Contract #69
315	1,970,709	656,904	6/77	3.27	Resid.	HUD Contract #79*
272	1,608,752	536,251	6/77	6.19	Resid.	HUD Contract #79
12	548,890	182,964	12/74	1.73	Resid.	HUD/PH3**
---	2,668,204	889,402	6/77	47.87	Resid.	HUD Contract #69
52	972,702	324,234	7/76	2.65	Resid.	HUD Contract #71
242	5,309,631	1,038,885	7/78	31.30	Resid.	Penna. Contract #73
91	885,000	303,301	12/76	5.90	Resid.	HUD Contract #69
430	5,350,194	1,738,234	6/78	27.85	Resid.	HUD Contract #69
,585	7,312,000	2,437,334	6/78	27.55	Resid.	HUD Contract #69
,058	12,858,675	4,286,205	7/78	43.26	Resid.	HUD Contract #69
987	4,110,484	1,370,162	6/78	8.84	Resid.	HUD Contract #69
161	13,089,000	4,363,000	6/78	83.06	Ind.	HUD Contract #69
38	3,660,880	1,220,294	6/78	18.06	Ind.	HUD Contract #69
---	964,377	321,459	6/76	10.30	Resid.	Penna. Contract #73
564	5,047,380	1,614,471	7/78	15.37	Resid.	HUD Contract #69
,398	42,841,913	14,206,598	7/78	87.58	Resid.	HUD Contract #69
90	3,415,632	1,138,544	6/78	13.45	Resid.	HUD Contract #79
950	15,324,600	5,108,201	5/78	56.07	Resid.	HUD Contract #69
139	5,026,856	1,675,619	7/78	31.97	Resid.	HUD Contract #69

TABLE 4 (Continued)

COMMUNITY	PROJ. NO.	(Acres)	# OF PARCELS TO BE ACQUIRED	RELOCATION			STRUCTURES TO BE DEMOLISHED	REHABILITATION STRUCTURES
				FAM.	INDIV.	BUS.		
				447	143	42	379	224
Lock Haven	R-654	193.00	361					
Luzerne	R-616	28.67	36	29	5	9	44	83
Lykens	R-662	76.44	65	30	16	7	52	217
Middletown	R-664	88.30	140	85	13	6	116	153
Milton								
North	R-674	36.20	112	92	9	8	87	61
South	R-630	104.70	279	212	78	53	286	150
Montgomery	R-624	11.50	21	10	2	1	15	0
Montoursville	R-639	262.40	79	13	7	1	57	0
Mount Union	R-435	216.80	154	109	30	22	113	136
Nanticoke	R-668	70.11	112	46	22	9	77	0
Plains Twp.	R-667	67.73	32	30	2	3	29	71
Plymouth Twp.								
Nanticoke-West	R-629	109.81	75	61	11	7	75	145
Plymouth Twp. #2	R-669	25.17	21	15	5	0	22	20
Plymouth Borough	R-617	290.37	159	90	31	26	137	1,485
Renovo	R-644	27.80	157	132	21	10	136	14
Shickshinny	R-618	95.49	159	72	16	26	128	193
Smithfield	R-436	193.70	128	44	16	9	118	192
Steelton	R-650	42.45	505	297	76	23	406	0
Swoyersville	R-619	631.22	312	132	37	14	146	1,531

Continued)

LOCATION	FEDERAL FUNDING	STATE FUNDING	SCHEDULED PROJECT COMPLETION	LAND TO BE SOLD (ACRES)	MAJOR REUSE	SRBC-HUD FIA STUDY
DU's						
345	\$ 10,860,000	\$ 3,620,000	6/78	58.08	Non-Res.	HUD Contract #6
83	1,691,273	73,305	7/78	6.11	Resid.	HUD Contract #6
238	2,593,692	858,565	6/78	14.09	Resid.	HUD/PH3
163	4,792,888	1,540,300	6/78	31.18	Resid.	HUD Contract #6
67	3,542,141	1,172,541	6/78	13.34	Resid.	---
213	4,638,296	1,529,099	6/78	52.87	Non-Res.	---
0	657,733	219,245	6/76	5.03	Inst.	HUD Contract #6
0	1,156,200	385,400	12/76	156.28	Inst.	HUD Contract #6
110	5,593,891	1,864,631	6/78	59.00	Resid.	Penna. Contract
0	2,199,996	733,332	6/76	39.60	OP.SP.	HUD Contract #6
76	962,000	308,667	12/75	6.01	Comm.	HUD Contract #6
134	3,347,500	1,115,834	6/78	13.50	Resid.	HUD Contract #6
16	711,986	237,329	6/75	3.68	OP.SP.	HUD Contract #6
1,490	11,155,550	3,044,849	6/77	34.92	Resid.	HUD Contract #6
30	3,814,296	1,271,432	6/78	12.66	Resid.	Penna. Contract
200	6,402,642	2,096,625	6/78	31.94	Resid.	Penna. Contract
176	3,418,048	439,350	6/78	65.27	Resid.	Penna. Contract
0	9,471,462	3,142,155	4/78	25.62	Ind.	HUD Contract #6
1,600	13,426,603	4,475,534	7/78	86.47	Resid.	HUD Contract #6

